

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/848,609	05/03/2001	Paul E. Laibinis	MTV-031.01	6015
25181	7590 11/25/2002			
FOLEY HOAG, LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110			EXAMINER	
			WESSENDORF, TERESA D	
BOSTON, M	A 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/848,609	LAIBINIS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		T. D. Wessendorf	1639	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addi	'ess
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) 🖂	Passancive to communication(s) filed on 02	Ootobor 2002		
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 of</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows		atters, presequition as to the	morito io
•	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			ments is
	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application	٦.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		,	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	,
40)□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		lage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·		opplication).
_a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has	been received.	,
ر اسارت Attachmen		io priority under 00 0.0.C	33 120 aliu/01 121,	
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to solid bound probe.
- II. Claims 12-19, drawn to an array of solid-bound probes.
- III. Claims 20-21, drawn to probe.
- IV. Claims 22-26, drawn to a solid support with an array of surface-bound capture oligos.
- V. Claims 27-30, drawn to a method of linking a probe to a solid support.
- VI. Claims 31-34, drawn to a conjugate primer.
- VII. Claims 35-41, drawn to a method of forming a selfassembling array of a library of target.
- VIII. Claims 42-47, drawn to a method of producing a dsDNA with a ss overhang.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, III, IV and VI are drawn to different products and thus each has different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). The product of Group I contains a capture oligo

Art Unit: 1639

attached to a solid support which would have different effects or modes of operation from the product of Group II comprising an array of probes. Group III drawn to a probe does not require a support for its mode of operation. Thus, each of these Groups has different patentability determinations. A prior art reference anticipating one Group would not render obvious the other Groups.

Inventions (I, II, III, IV and VI) and (V and VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different products and different methods. The probe can be linked to a solid support in a non-covalent means or by other chemical methods.

Inventions V, VII and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different methods that have different modes of operation, functions and results. Each of these Groups has different patentability determinations. A prior art

Application/Control Number: 09/848,609 Page 4

Art Unit: 1639

reference anticipating one Group would not render obvious the other Groups.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group VII, specifically the literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1. Target moiety:

- A. ssDNA or dsDNA
- B. Protein
- C. Peptide
- D. Oligopeptide
- E. Small molecule.
- 2. Functionalized support as recited in either claim 25 or claim 26 or claim 36 and claim 37.
- 3. Length of the oligonucleotide sequence as recited in e.g., claim 9.

Each of these species differs in structure, functions and possibly resultant products. Thus, a prior art reference

Application/Control Number: 09/848,609

Art Unit: 1639

anticipating one species would not render obvious the other species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1, for example is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be

Application/Control Number: 09/848,609 Page 6

Art Unit: 1639

obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Jennifer Holmes on 11/19 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

REASSIGNMENT OF LOCATION

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1639.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,609

Art Unit: 1639

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (703) 308-3967. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7924 for regular communications and (703) 308-7924 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

T. D. Wessendorf Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639 Page 7

tdw November 22, 2002